Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,846	LAROSE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Karen for Gregory Sebald.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		,
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN I called to cofirm the application was intended to be abandoned		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summit 	the examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Evaminer/SDE Signature) (Analisa	nt/Applicant's Depresentative Of	anoturo (formanista)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		